

 $$\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}\xspace$ 2024 The Authors Published by the European Association of Geochemistry

Direct evidence of CO₂ drawdown through enhanced weathering in soils

T. Linke, E.H. Oelkers, S.C. Möckel, S.R. Gislason

Supplementary Information

The Supplementary Information includes:

- > Soil Classification and Soil Evolution in Iceland
- Detailed Field Site Description
- Details of Field Sampling
- Analytical Methods
- Elemental Analysis
- > Calculation of Alkalinity Creation and Export in Our Studied Soil
- Estimated Organic Carbon Storage Within the Studied Soil
- Effect of Basalt on Organic Carbon
- ➤ Tables S-1 to S-4
- Supplementary Information References

Soil Classification and Soil Evolution in Iceland

Iceland is built of volcanic rocks, which are predominantly (80–85 %) of basaltic composition, the remainder being intermediate and silicic volcanics and clastic sediments that are mostly of basaltic composition (Saemundsson, 1979). The oldest exposed rocks are about 15 Myr (McDougall *et al.*, 1984). Iceland was fully covered with glaciers at the Last Glacial Maximum (~20 kyr BP). The ice sheet retreated close to the present coastline around 10.3 kyr BP, and at about 8.0 kyr BP Icelandic glaciers were of similar, or little lesser extent, than at the present (Norðdahl *et al.*, 2008). Hence, all Icelandic soils are of Holocene age younger than ~10 kyr BP (Arnalds, 2008).

Andosols are the dominant soils in Iceland, Vitrisols are present in desert areas and organic-rich Histosols are found in some wetland areas (Arnalds, 2008). Andosols are not common in Europe, but they are widespread in the active volcanic areas of the world (Arnalds, 2008). Two main factors are commonly used to classify Icelandic soils: deposition of aeolian (volcanic) material and drainage (Arnalds, 2004). Aeolian material mostly originates from the sandy desert areas located near active volcanic zones or from glaciofluvial outwash plains. After the settlement in Iceland, around 1076 yr BP, the extent of barren areas that are a source for aeolian material significantly increased (Gísladóttir *et al.*,



2008, Dugmore *et al.*, 2009). Andosols are often found in the wetland areas of Iceland where substantial aeolian input is present, lowering the relative organic content, or where some drainage is present, whereas organic-rich Histosols are found in wetlands with little aeolian input. The progression of soil types with improving drainage conditions from wet to dry follows: Histosols (>20 % C), Histic Andosols (12–20 % C), Gleyic Andosols (>1 to < 12 % C, poorly drained), and Brown Andosols (>1 to <12 % C, freely drained) and Vitrisols with <1 % organic carbon (Arnalds, 2008). This order also reflects the decreasing distance from the volcanic zones and the source of aeolian materials. The transition between these soil types is fluent, and changes in drainage or aeolian input can lead to a change of the soil type. It is postulated that in absence of the volcanic influences, Icelandic wetland soils would largely be organic Histosols, typical of the arctic environments (Arnalds, 2015, 2008). This suggests that applying enhanced weathering EW by the addition of basaltic dust to an organic-rich Histosol can lead to its transition to a more mineral-rich soil such as an Andosol, as found in our study area.

Histosols or peatlands are classified further as *ombrotrophic* or *minerotrophic*, based on the origin and mineral content of the waters feeding them (Rydin and Jeglum, 2013). While *minerotrophic* soils receive mostly ground water that has interacted with the bedrock upstream, leading to an enrichment of the mineral content in the water, *ombrotrophic* soils are dominantly fed by rainwater, and are therefore nearly free of rock derived dissolved constituents (Rydin and Jeglum, 2013). Our studied field site receives mostly rainwater. Therefore, all dissolved constituents in our soil water are assumed to originate from the interaction of rainwater with the embedded dust of our soil, and the decay of organic matter. Based on this assumption, we compare our data (see Fig. 3) with data from other sites reported in the literature as mostly *ombrotrophic*, implying limited interaction with the underlying bedrocks.

Detailed Field Site Description

The field site is located above the source of the Rauðalækur ("Red creek") river at $63^{\circ} 53' 42.5"$ N $20^{\circ} 21' 15.9"$ W, 7 km north of the town of Hella, South Iceland. This field site has not been used for agriculture or fertilized for at least the past 10 years prior to this study, hence limited anthropogenic contamination is therefore expected. Based on data from the Icelandic Meteorological Office, the average soil temperature is \sim 7 °C during the summer (Petersen and Berber, 2018). At 100 cm soil depth, the annual maximum temperature is 9 °C and the annual minimum temperature is 1 °C. The soil can, however, temporarily freeze down to a depth of 50 cm (Petersen and Berber, 2018). The annual rainfall in this area is 1250 ± 200 mm. The average storm yields an average of 15 mm of rain with a maximum duration of 20 hours (www.en.vedur.is/climatology/data). The surface of the studied soil is hummocky, and the vegetation is characterized by graminoids with a clear predominance of Poaceae. The direction of the groundwater flow, estimated based on the surrounding drainage channels, is towards S/SE. Based on field observations, the groundwater table fluctuates near a depth of 50 cm.

The field site is adjacent to a natural escarpment allowing for the characterization of the subsurface soil profile. Several tephra layers were identified within a cleared vertical face of the escarpment. Layers of organic-rich soil



admixed with air-borne basaltic dust separate the tephra layers. The dust in these layers is finer grained than the basalt in the tephra layers. The tephra layers can be assigned to specific volcanic eruptions, as each volcanic eruption in Iceland has its own chemical fingerprint (Dugmore *et al.*, 2009; Grönvold *et al.*, 1995). These allow determination of the soil accumulation rates. As can be seen in Figure 1b, over the last 3300 years about 220 cm of soil has accumulated, averaging to a soil thickening rate of 0.067 cm yr⁻¹. The 'Settlement layer', a tephra layer from an eruption of the Vatnaöldur volcanic system at 1079 ± 2 BP (Grönvold *et al.*, 1995), which approximately coincides with the initial settlement (Landnám) of Iceland, was barely discernible in the soil profile. Although the exact depth of this Settlement tephra at around 96 cm depth is somewhat uncertain, its location suggests an average soil accumulation rate of 0.086 cm yr⁻¹ during the last 1120 years. This is consistent with Gísladóttir *et al.* (2011) who reported that the dust flux over South-Central Iceland increased following the emplacement of the Settlement layer. A detailed description of the soil profile is provided in Table S-1 of the Supplementary Information following the guidelines provided in Schoeneberger *et al.* (2012).

Details of Field Sampling

In situ soil waters were sampled 10 m North from the escarpment in the field with suction cup samplers obtained from Prenart, Denmark. Four suction cup samplers were installed into holes drilled at an angle of 60° at depths of 76, 121, 173, 260 cm on 8 November 2017, following the method of Sigfusson *et al.* (2006). The samplers were left in the field over the winter to allow settling of the soil around the samplers and tubing. The first samples from these suction cup samplers were collected during May 2018 and the last were collected November 2018. The suction cup samplers, which are 95 mm long and 21 mm in outer diameter, consist of a 48/52 % mixture of Polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE) and quartz with an average pore size of 2 μ m. These samplers were connected by 1.8 mm inner diameter Teflon (Fluorinated ethylene propylene) tubing to the surface. Four 60-mL syringes located at the surface were connected *via* 3-way valves and 100 cm long connection polyethylene tubing to the Teflon tubing of the subsurface samplers. The first 30–50 mL of extracted soil water during any sampling was discarded to avoid contamination. It took about 6–8 hours to fill the 60 mL sampling syringes. During the sampling the syringes were kept in a closed cooling box to prevent heating and exposure to sunlight. This approach was adapted to avoid any degassing of the soil solutions and oxidation of the samples. No colour change of the soil solutions due to iron oxidation was observed during the sampling.

Initial sample analysis was performed in the field including sample pH, temperature and Eh measurements, conductivity determination and H₂S titration. Subsamples for major and trace element analysis *via* ICP-OES and ICP-MS as well as for ion chromatography to determine Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} , DOC analysis and alkalinity titration were collected and stabilized on site and analysed later in the lab.

Analytical Methods

The redox potentials (E_{meas}) of the collected fluids were measured directly in the sample syringes in the field using a Microelectrodes Inc MI-800 Micro-ORP Ag/AgCl micro combination redox electrode with a ±10 mV uncertainty. These values were converted to equivalent potentials for a standard hydrogen electrode (E_{SHE}) using a +199 mV reference potential, E°, for the Ag/AgCl electrode (Sawyer *et al.*, 1995). This calculation was performed using the Nernst equation:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\rm SHE} = \mathbf{E}_{\rm meas} + \ln(10) \bullet (R \bullet T) / F \bullet \mathbf{pH} + \mathbf{E}^{\circ}_{\rm Ag/AgCl},$$

where *R* refers to the gas constant, *F* designates the Faraday's constant, and *T* symbolizes the temperature in kelvin. Subsequently, ~5 mL of each sampled fluid was transferred into 10 mL polypropylene vials for pH temperature, dissolved oxygen, and conductivity measurement. The pH was measured using a Eutech pH 6+ electrode with an uncertainty of ± 0.01 pH units. The dissolved oxygen and conductivity of the samples were measured using a Micro electrodes MI-730 Micro-Oxygen Electrode with an uncertainty of ± 0.5 % and a Eutech COND 6+ with an uncertainty of $\pm 10 \mu$ S, respectively. For major and trace element analysis, 10 mL of each fluid sample was first filtered through 0.2 µm cellulose acetate in-line filters then transferred into acid washed polypropylene bottles. A small quantity of 65 % Merck suprapure HNO₃ was added to acidify these samples to 0.5 % HNO₃. Samples for iron speciation measurement were first filtered through 0.2 µm cellulose acetate in-line filters then placed into acid cleaned polypropylene bottles. Merck HCl was added to these samples to attain a final acid concentration of 0.5 %. Samples for dissolved organic carbon analysis were collected in acid washed polycarbonate bottles and acidified with 0.5 M suprapure, Merck HCl to a final acid concentration of 3.3 %.

Dissolved hydrogen sulphide, H₂S, was determined in the field by precipitation titration immediately after sampling with an uncertainty of $\pm 0.7 \,\mu$ mol kg⁻¹, using mercury acetate solution Hg(CH₃COO)₂ of a known concentration as described by Arnórsson (2000). Alkalinity titrations were performed immediately after returning the samples to the laboratory. For each titration, ~5 mL of fluid was transferred in a 10 mL vial and titrated to pH 3.3 by addition of 0.1 M HCl while constantly stirring the fluid. The pH of the fluid was recorded using a glass pH electrode together with a pH 110, Eutech instruments millivolt meter. The alkalinity was calculated by the Gran method using the inflection points (Gran, 1952). The final measured alkalinity values are given in meq kg⁻¹ with an uncertainty of ±5 % or less.

Elemental Analysis

Major element compositions of all fluid samples were determined using a Ciros Vision, Spectro Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES). The instrument was calibrated using the SEL-11 in-house standard, which was referenced to the SPEX CertiPrep commercial standard material. All standards and measured samples were acidified to 0.5 % using suprapure HNO₃ prior to analysis. All measurements were run in duplicate. Blank solutions were measured after every 5 samples and uncertainties were below ± 5 % for each element.



Iron species were determined using a Dionex 3000 ion chromatography system equipped with a Variable Wavelength Detector using the method described by Kaasalainen *et al.* (2016). This method separates Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} using pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid (PDCA) as a chelating agent. It detects the distinct Fe cations by post-column derivatization using 4-(2-pyridylazo)resorcinol with a peak absorbance at 530 nm, a detection limit of ~2 µg L⁻¹ and an uncertainty of ±2 % or less for Fe²⁺ and ±10 % for Fe³⁺ for 200–1000 µL samples.

Dissolved organic carbon concentrations were determined by size exclusion chromatography using a Liquid Chromatography – Organic Carbon Detection system (LC-OCD) obtained from DOC Labor in Karlsruhe, Germany, following the method of Huber *et al.* (2011). The system was calibrated for the molecular masses of humic and fulvic acids using standard material from the Suwannee River, provided by the International Humic Substances Society (IHSS). All DOC measurements have an uncertainty of 5 % or less.

Calculation of Alkalinity Creation and Export in Our Studied Soil

Alkalinity export in our field site was determined by multiplying the mass of water passing through the soil by the alkalinity generated in the soil, taking account the loss of alkalinity as the soil solution interacted with the atmosphere. Any effect of eventual changes in this alkalinity after the fluids arrive in the oceans is not taken into account. The alkalinity of the soil solution after its equilibration with the atmosphere was calculated using the PHREEQC software version 3.4.0 (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999) together with the minteq.v4 thermodynamic database (Allison *et al.*, 1991; US Environmental Protection Agency, 1998). This alkalinity was determined from the average of all measured major element concentrations, pH and alkalinity in the deepest soil water samplers (see Table S-2). This fluid was equilibrated with atmospheric O_2 concentration. Ferrihydrite is allowed to precipitate at local equilibrium as the fluid oxidized. The resulting fluid was then equilibrated with the 400 ppm CO₂ concentration of the atmosphere to account for fluid degassing.

The mass of fluid passing through the soil was estimated to be equal to the difference between the mean precipitation for the field site minus the evapotranspiration and the direct runoff. The mean precipitation is equal to $1250 \pm 200 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$, based on the records from the measurement station in Hella located ~7 km away from the field site operated by the Icelandic Metrological Office Veðurstofa Íslands (<u>https://en.vedur.is/climatology/data</u>). The evapotranspiration at the field site was estimated based on Jóhannesson *et al.* (2007) to be equal to 16 % of the precipitation corresponding to 200 mm yr⁻¹. The direct surface runoff is estimated to be 10 %, based on data published by Sigurðsson *et al.* (2004). After subtracting the evapotranspiration and direct surface runoff, approximately 925 ± 150 kg m⁻² yr⁻¹ of water are estimated to pass through the studied soil annually.

The soil water alkalinity in the deep soil was 2.59 ± 0.34 meq kg⁻¹ based on the average of the measurements at 260 cm depth. The average alkalinity for the surface waters after oxidation and the precipitation of ferrihydrite calculated with PHREEQC is 1.53 ± 0.2 meq kg⁻¹. Note that the oxidation from Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ releases H⁺. The consequential formation of ferrihydrite from the Fe³⁺ releases additional H⁺, decreasing the pH and alkalinity as well as decreasing



 CO_2 solubility. Multiplying this $1.53 \pm 0.2 \text{ meq kg}^{-1}$ average alkalinity value by the estimated annual water flux through the soil yields an annual alkalinity export *via* surface waters of 1.45 ± 0.3 eq m⁻² yr⁻¹. Multiplying this number by the atomic weight of carbon yields an annual carbon flux of 17 ± 3.6 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ or 0.17 ± 3.6 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ of C. Note the longterm fate of this captured carbon may evolve once the river water transporting this carbon arrives in the oceans. It should be emphasized that the alkalinity drawn down by the increasing alkalinity could include some contribution from decaying organic material in the soil column. This carbon was originally removed from the atmosphere by photosynthesis, so contributes to the carbon drawdown from the atmosphere as does the direct dissolution of CO_2 from the atmosphere.

To extrapolate the annual mass of carbon drawdown to the gigaton scale, we divided one gigaton of CO₂, which is equal to 2.73×10^8 tons of C by the 0.17 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ of C drawdown in rivers provoked by the addition of basaltic dust to our field site. This yielded a surface area of 1.6×10^9 ha. This surface area is equal to 1.6×10^7 km². This is larger than the surface area of the United States, which is equal to 9.8×10^6 km². The mass of dust needed to be added to 1.6×10^7 km² annually to attain the same 500–800 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ of dust added to our study site is obtained by multiplying this flux and surface area. This calculation yields 8 to 13×10^9 t yr⁻¹, which equals 8 to 13 Gt yr⁻¹.

One additional caveat to applying alkalinity generation from enhanced weathering of soils on the continents to global carbon drawdown from the atmosphere is the fate of soil generated alkalinity after its transport in rivers to the oceans. The exact mass of CO_2 removed from the oceans due to alkalinity input is currently debated, but is likely attenuated by carbonate mineral precipitation (Renforth and Henderson, 2017; Moras *et al.*, 2022; Hartmann *et al.*, 2023). Recent estimates suggest a CO_2 uptake efficiency of only 0.6 to 0.8 mol of CO_2 for each mole of alkalinity added to the oceans (He and Tyka, 2023). Such observations suggest that the total carbon drawdown from the atmosphere by alkalinity generation on the continents will depend on the eventual fate of this alkalinity and it is likely decreased by marine processes.

Estimated Organic Carbon Storage Within the Studied Soil

A substantial mass of carbon is stored by soils in organic material. The rate of organic carbon buildup in our studied soil can be estimated by taking account of the rate of soil formation and the organic content of this soil. The average soil formation rate at our study site is estimated to be 0.067 cm yr⁻¹. This estimate is made by dividing the current 2.2 m soil thickness by 3300 years, the time the soil developed (see Fig. 1). The organic carbon content of the studied Histic/Gleyic Andosol is between ~12 % and 20 % of the dry mass and it has a porosity between 50 % and 75 % (Snæbjörnsson, 1982; Orradottir *et al.*, 2008). The mass of organic carbon in our studied soil was estimated by considering it is comprised of two parts, an upper part formed after the settlement (1076 yr BP) and a lower part formed from 1076 down to 3300 yr BP (Fig. 1). This separation is based on the report of an increase in dust flux after this time (Gísladóttir *et al.*, 2008; Dugmore *et al.*, 2009). These parts are divided based on the position of tephra layers that allow the direct determination of the net rates of soil accumulation, including the effects of soil erosion, over time. The upper part is a Gleyic Andosol containing



<12 % C by dry weight extending down to ~90 cm, while the lower part is a Histic Andosol containing 12–20 % C by dry weight from ~90 to 218 cm. These maximum soil carbon values of 12 and 20 % were multiplied by the height of each soil section, assuming a porosity between 50 and 75 % (Snæbjörnsson, 1982; Orradottir *et al.*, 2008) to estimate the total carbon present in the studied soil. The combination of this range of carbon content and porosity values yield an estimated total mass of organic carbon stored in this soil equal to 86–172 kg C m⁻². The total mass of carbon estimated in our study area compares well with corresponding estimates of Óskarsson *et al.* (2004), who estimate the C stocks of Histosols in Iceland to be on average 197 kg C m⁻², and the more mineral-rich Histic Andosols in Iceland to be 89 kg C m⁻². Further details of this calculation are provided in Table S-4. Dividing this mass by the 3300-year age of the soil column yields an average organic carbon production rate of 26–52 gC m⁻² yr⁻¹. Note that the mass of carbon in organic material, reported in units of mass of C can be converted to the equivalent mass CO₂ by multiplying the former by the ratios of their respective molar masses: 44/12.

Effect of Basalt on Organic Carbon

The degree to which the addition of basalt increases or decreases the total mass of organic carbon in a soil is currently poorly constrained. Vicca et al. (2022) argued that the efficiency of enhanced weathering effort is governed by biologic processes. These authors noted that nutrients released by the addition of ground rocks to soils could enhance plant growth and promote organic carbon storage in soils. They also postulated that the addition of this material could accelerate organic material decay in the subsurface. Goll et al. (2021) suggested that the addition of basalt to soils would improve the fertility potentially, enhancing organic carbon storage in soils. Some supporting evidence was reported by Angst et al. (2018), who observed that soils derived from a basaltic rock stored more organic carbon than soils derived from sandstone or from loess. This was interpreted by these authors to be due to a combination of a higher clay content and greater availability of nutrients in the basalt derived soils. Similarly, da Silva et al. (2016) concluded that the organic carbon content of soils derived from granitic rocks increased with increasing mafic content of the parent rock due to increased clay mineral content. Möckel et al. (2021a, 2021b) provided evidence that volcanic mineral dust, and soil and tephra layers hamper organic carbon decomposition in Histosols of natural peatlands in Iceland. In contrast, other studies found that soil parent material and mineral oxide compositions have little effect on the mass of organic carbon in soils (Araujo et al., 2017). One factor that is clearly detrimental to the preservation of soil organic carbon is tilling. Soil tilling has been shown to accelerate greatly soil organic carbon degradation (Wang et al., 2020; Shakoor et al., 2021; Li et al., 2023). Such observations suggest that the way that basaltic dust is added to soil during enhanced weathering efforts may be critical for increasing the net carbon drawdown in these soils. In either case, consideration of the relative rates of carbon drawdown through inorganic compared to organic processes presented in this study suggests that the latter may dominate the net carbon storage in soils due to enhanced weathering. This makes the quantification of the role of basaltic dust on productivity and organic preservation a critical factor in optimizing enhanced weathering efforts.



Geochemical Perspectives Letters – Supplementary Information

Supplementary Tables

Table S-1 Description of the soil profile depicted in Figure 1a and 1b. Profile description following Schoeneberger et al. (2012). Note that O horizons are here defined as layers

with an es	stimated carbo	n content $\geq 12\%$.	-					
Horizon	Depth (cm)	Roots (quantity and size) ¹	Boundary (distinctness, topography) ²	Structure (size, type) ³	grade, Mo	ttles (quantity l size) ⁴	Soil colour (moist; Munsell colour code)	Comments
A (0)	0–23	3 vf, 2 f, 2 m	G, S	3, f, sbk	f 1		2.5YR 3/4 7.5YR 2.5/2: colour change	
201	23–35	3 vf. 2 f. 1 m	C, W	2. f. abk	f1		towards 2.5YR 4/3 in the lower half of the horizon	
							Gradual downwards colour change from 10YR 3/3 to 7.5	
202	35–58	3 vf, 1 f, 1 m	C, W	2, tn, pl	I		YR 2.5/3	41–41.5 cm: dark basaltic tephra
203	58-71	1 vf, very few f, very few co	G, B	t, m, sbk	m 5		7.5YR 2.5/2 (main horizon colour); 7.5YR 4/6 (mottles)	At the top 0.5–1 cm: intermixing of dark basaltic tephra
204	71–96	very few vf, 1 co	A, W	1, m, abk	m 5		7.5 YR 4/6	
205	96–114.5	2 vf, 1 f, 1 co	A, W	2, f, sbk	c 2,	c 3	7.5YR 2.5/1	100.5–101.5 cm: coarse grey beige coloured tephra
206	116.5–133	3 vf, very few f, 1 co	A, W	2, m, pl	f 1		7.5YR 2.5/1	124.5–125 cm: dark basaltic tephra
207	133–137	3 vf	A, W	2, m, gr	f 2		7.5YR 2.5/2	Layer of rather coarse material; might for instance be from a flooding event
3C - T	137–139.5							dark basaltic tephra
40	139.5–162	3 vf, 1 f, f co	A, W	2, tk, pl	I		7.5YR 2.5/1	wood remains in the lower half of the horizon (ø: c. 1.5–4 cm)
5C - T	162–164.5							very coarse dark-light tephra
09	164.5–182	3 vf	A, W	1, m, pl	I		7.5YR 2.5/1	wood remains (quite evenly distributed; ø: c. 1.5 cm)
7C - T	182–187.5 187.5–2018							dark basaltic tephra
80	(bottom of the ditch)	3 vf, 1 f	not applicable, bottom of the ditch	2 tk pl	1		7.5YR 2/2	Wood remains ($\omega \leq c. 2cm$)
¹ vf-very fin granular; ⁴ f-	ie, f-fine, m-medii -few, m-many, c-o	um, co-coarse; ² A-abrupt, common.	, C-clear, G-gradual, S	5-smooth, W-wav	y, B-broken; ³	f-fine, tn-fine(thin),	m-medium, tk-coarse, sbk-suban	gular blocky, abk-angular blocky, pl-platy, gr-

Geochem. Persp. Let. (2024) 30, 7–12 | https://doi.org/10.7185/geochemlet.2415



SI-8

Letter

Geochemical Perspectives Letters – Supplementary Information

	${\rm F}e^{3+}$	0.1					0.7	10.2	12.1	24.9	0.7	25.7	86.4**	93.9	0.9	78.5	74.5	86.0	0.8	12.0	9.8	24.5	0.6	12.5	13.6	20.7
	$\mathrm{F}\mathrm{e}^{2+}$	0.1					<loq< td=""><td>371</td><td>460</td><td>562</td><td><pre>></pre></td><td>415</td><td>388**</td><td>464</td><td>0.4</td><td>412</td><td>391</td><td>451</td><td>≥L0Q</td><td>364</td><td>465</td><td>535</td><td><pre>>COQ</pre></td><td>246</td><td>438</td><td>506</td></loq<>	371	460	562	<pre>></pre>	415	388**	464	0.4	412	391	451	≥L0Q	364	465	535	<pre>>COQ</pre>	246	438	506
ol kg ⁻¹)	Ы	0.28	0.93	0.99	1.25	2.81	<loq< td=""><td>2.18</td><td>2.64</td><td>2.57</td><td><toq< td=""><td>2.63</td><td>2.62</td><td>2.63</td><td>1.70</td><td>2.96</td><td>2.55</td><td>2.64</td><td><toq< td=""><td>2.13</td><td>2.33</td><td>2.47</td><td>1.54</td><td>2.11</td><td>2.76</td><td>2.53</td></toq<></td></toq<></td></loq<>	2.18	2.64	2.57	<toq< td=""><td>2.63</td><td>2.62</td><td>2.63</td><td>1.70</td><td>2.96</td><td>2.55</td><td>2.64</td><td><toq< td=""><td>2.13</td><td>2.33</td><td>2.47</td><td>1.54</td><td>2.11</td><td>2.76</td><td>2.53</td></toq<></td></toq<>	2.63	2.62	2.63	1.70	2.96	2.55	2.64	<toq< td=""><td>2.13</td><td>2.33</td><td>2.47</td><td>1.54</td><td>2.11</td><td>2.76</td><td>2.53</td></toq<>	2.13	2.33	2.47	1.54	2.11	2.76	2.53
ions (µm	CI	28	402	357	350	284	404	287	322	295	300	255	280	462	206	254	293	282	583	492	332	328	446	368	349	309
oncentrat	S(tot) ⁻	6.0	76	102	79	7	90	66	47	<l0q< td=""><td>71</td><td>120</td><td>29</td><td>27</td><td>111</td><td>124</td><td>20</td><td><l0q< td=""><td>101</td><td>LL</td><td>57</td><td>14</td><td>106</td><td>50</td><td>44</td><td><001></td></l0q<></td></l0q<>	71	120	29	27	111	124	20	<l0q< td=""><td>101</td><td>LL</td><td>57</td><td>14</td><td>106</td><td>50</td><td>44</td><td><001></td></l0q<>	101	LL	57	14	106	50	44	<001>
lement o	Mg	0.2	402	379	233	535	359	261	340	527	361	314	341	515	358	342	348	493	310	269	354	499	333	190	327	451
Major e	Ca	1.0	420	427	293	513	380	334	384	522	381	365	374	486	378	422	387	487	330	323	383	494	343	229	351	442
N	K	3.0	L	13	14	20	<loq< td=""><td>17</td><td><pre>COQ</pre></td><td>16</td><td>0</td><td>19</td><td>16</td><td>57</td><td><loq< td=""><td>19</td><td>16</td><td>22</td><td>22</td><td>20</td><td>14</td><td>19</td><td>17</td><td>36</td><td>17</td><td>20</td></loq<></td></loq<>	17	<pre>COQ</pre>	16	0	19	16	57	<loq< td=""><td>19</td><td>16</td><td>22</td><td>22</td><td>20</td><td>14</td><td>19</td><td>17</td><td>36</td><td>17</td><td>20</td></loq<>	19	16	22	22	20	14	19	17	36	17	20
	Na	11	449	435	390	441	464	427	435	448	471	446	456	581	482	450	463	446	449	416	453	444	450	354	435	423
	Si	4.0	678	716	717	644	678	797	778	722	761	860	802	740	772	887	810	747	566	732	807	755	650	668	794	730
DOC	μm01 kg ⁻¹)						247	252	344	233	381	258	265		418	339	344	331	382	488	329	366				
DIC	(mmol kg ⁻¹)						4.45	3.72	3.67	4.75	4.57	3.50	3.55	4.05	5.47	5.85	6.53	7.04	2.73	4.04	5.20	5.20	4.40	3.24	5.45	5.88
Alk	(meq kg ⁻¹)		1.48			2.3	1.41	1.56	1.53	2.21	1.5	1.38	1.59	2.13	1.5	2.34	2.5	3.02	0.83	1.56	2.16	2.8	1.08	1.21	2.17	2.78
Ehshe	(mV)		233	6–	-8	-57	451	116	106	71	298	76	88	99	287	123	112	85	439	229	224	179	429	282	255	190
E.	Hd		5.84	6.27	6.35	6.37	6.02	6.21	6.2	6.25	90'9	6.18	6.28	6.41	9	6.22	6.19	6.28	2.98	6.13	6.18	6:39	5.85	6.11	6.15	6.28
Т	(°C)		6	6	8.9	10.1	19.7	19	19.2	24.8	16.8	15.9	15.2	15.4	7.6	8.9	8.2	7.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6
Depth	(cm)		76	121	173	260	76	121	173	260	76	121	173	260	76	121	173	260	76	121	173	260	76	121	173	260
	Date		ιι	C7	May	0107	11	217 17	June 2019	0107	1 1	14 • ··· ~	Aug 2019	0107	1.0	10	oep 2010	0107		47 727	001 2018	0107	11	17 Nou	7010 0100	20107
	Sample	LOQ^{1}	1*	2*	3* 0	4*	la	2a	3a	4a	1b	2b	3b	4b	lc	2c	3c	4c	1d	2d	3d	4d	le	2e	3e	4e

Table S-2 Soil water compositions measured in the present study.

¹ Limit of Quantification. * Sample not used for modelling due to missing analysis. ** Fe species calculated from Fe_{tot} and measured Eh.

9

SI-9

Letter

рН	Alkalinity (meq kg ⁻¹)	Classification	Reference	Location
3.96	0	Bog		
3.93	0			
5.38	0.198	Poor fen		
5.44	0.371		Vitt <i>et al</i> .	Central Alberta,
6.28	0.764	Forested moderately rich	(1995)	Canada
6.21	0.743	fen		
6.00	0.623	Open moderately rich fen		
5.93	0.695			
5.17	0.055			NE Scotland.
5.33	0.01	Not specified	Dawson <i>et al.</i> (2002)	United Kingdom Mid Wales, United Kingdom
5.46	0.5			
5.46	0.5	Minerotrophic lawns	D	XX::1(1) X
4.68	0.2	Ombrotrophic carpets	Bragazza <i>et</i>	Wolfl Moor,
4.74	0	Ombrotrophic lawns	<i>al.</i> (2005)	South Tyrol, Italy
4.32	0	Ombrotrophic nummocks		
5.04	0.7	Minerotrophic lawns		
3.76	0	Ombrotrophic carpets	Bragazza <i>et</i>	Ryggmossen,
3.75	0	Ombrotrophic lawns	al. (2005)	Uppsala, Sweden
3.68	0	Ombrotrophic hummocks		
4.2	0.02		Kulzer <i>et al</i> .	Western
4.17	0.02	Bog water	(2001)	Washington, King
4.66	0.076			County, USA
3.6	0	Ombrotrophic bog	Verry (1975)	Minnesota USA
6.5	1.082	Groundwater fen	(1),0)	
0.0	1.002			

 Table S-3 Literature data included in Figure 3.



	75 % p	orosity	50 % porosity					
Depth	0–90 cm soil	90-218 cm soil	0–90 cm soil	90-218 cm soil				
cm of tephra (zero	—	10 cm	—	10 cm				
organic carbon)								
C content	12 %	20 %	12 %	20 %				
Soil height	90 cm	118 cm	90 cm	118 cm				
Soil mass	225 kg m^{-2}	295 kg m^{-2}	450 kg m^{-2}	590 kg m^{-2}				
mass organic carbon	27 kg C m^{-2}	59 kg C m^{-2}	54 kg C m^{-2}	118 kg C m^{-2}				
Total C stored	86 kg	$C m^{-2}$	172 kg	$\rm g C m^{-2}$				
Timespan	1140 years	2180 years	1140 years	2180 years				
C accumulation rate	$0.024 \text{ kg C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$	$0.027 \text{ kg C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$	$0.047 \text{ kg C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$	$0.054 \text{ kg C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$				

Table S-4 Estimates of the carbon stock of the field site and annual accumulation rates with varying porosity.



Supplementary Information References

- Allison, J.D., Brown, D.S., Novo-Gradac, K.J. (1991) MINTEQA2/PRODEFA2, A geochemical assessment model for environmental systems: version 3.0 user's manual. Environmental Research Laboratory Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, Georgia.
- Angst, G., Messinger, J., Greiner, M., Häusler, W., Hertel, D., Kirfel, K., Kögel-Knabner, I., Leuschner, C., Rethemeyer, J., Mueller, C.W. (2018) Soil organic carbon stocks in topsoil and subsoil controlled by parent material, carbon input in the rhizosphere, and microbial-derived compounds. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 122, 19–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.03.026
- Araujo, M.A., Zinn, Y.L., Lal, R. (2017) Soil parent material, texture and oxide contents have little effect on soil organic carbon retention in tropical highlands. *Geoderma* 300, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.04.006

Arnalds, O. (2004) Volcanic soils of Iceland. Catena 56, 3–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2003.10.002

- Arnalds, O. (2008) Soils of Iceland. Jökull 58, 409-421. https://doi.org/10.33799/jokull2008.58.409
- Arnalds, O. (2015) *The Soils of Iceland*. World Soils Book Series, Springer, Dordrecht. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9621-7</u>
- Arnórsson, S. (2000) Isotopic and Chemical Techniques in Geothermal Exploration, Development and Use. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna.
- Bragazza, L., Rydin, H., Gerdol, R. (2005) Multiple gradients in mire vegetation: A comparison of a Swedish and an Italian bog. *Plant Ecology* 177, 223–236. <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/20146727</u>
- Da Silva, Y.J.A.B., do Nascimento, C.W.A., Biondi, C M., van Straaten, P., de Souza Jr., V.S., Ferreira, T.O. (2016) Weathering rates and carbon storage along a climosequence of soils developed from contrasting granites in northeast Brazil. *Geoderma* 284, 1–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.08.009</u>
- Dawson, J.J.C., Billett, M.F., Neal, C., Hill, S. (2002) A comparison of particulate, dissolved and gaseous carbon in two contrasting upland streams in the UK. *Journal of Hydrology* 257, 226–246. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(01)00545-5</u>
- Dugmore, A.J., Gisladóttir, G., Simpson, I.A., Newton, A. (2009) Conceptual Models of 1200 Years of Icelandic Soil Erosion Reconstructed Using Tephrochronology. *Journal of the North Atlantic* 2, 1–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.3721/037.002.0103</u>
- Gísladóttir, G., Erlendsson, E., Lal, R., Bigham, J. (2008) Erosional effects on terrestrial resources over the last millennium in Reykjanes, southwest Iceland. *Quaternary Research* 73, 20–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2009.09.007</u>
- Gísladóttir, G., Erlendsson, E., Lal, R. (2011) Soil evidence for historical human-induced land degradation in West Iceland. *Applied Geochemistry* 26, 2009–2012. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2011.03.021</u>
- Goll, D.S, Ciais, P., Amann, T., Buermann, W., Chang, J., Eker, S., Hartmann, J., Janssens, I., Li, W., Obersteiner, M., Penuelas, J., Tanaka, K., Vicca, S. (2021) Potential CO₂ removal from enhanced weathering by ecosystem



responses to powdered rock. Nature Geoscience 14, 545-549. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00798-x

- Gran, G. (1952) Determination of the equivalence point in potentiometric Titrations. Part II. *Analyst* 77, 661–671. https://doi.org/10.1039/AN9527700661
- Grönvold, K., Óskarsson, N., Johnsen, S.J., Clausen, H.B., Hammer, C.U., Bond, G., Bard, E. (1995) Ash layers from Iceland in the Greenland GRIP ice core correlated with oceanic and land sediments. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 135, 149–155. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(95)00145-3</u>
- Hartmann, J., Suitner, N., Lim, C., Schneider, J., Marín-Samper, L., Arístegui, J., Renforth, P., Taucher, J., Riebsell, U. (2023) Stability of alkalinity in ocean alkalinity enhancement (OAE) approaches-consequences for durability of CO₂ storage. *Biogeosciences* 20, 781–802. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-781-2023</u>
- He, J., Tyka, M.D. (2023) Limits and CO₂ equilibration of near-coast alkalinity enhancement. *Biogeosciences* 20, 27–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-27-2023</u>
- Huber, S.A., Balz, A., Abert, M., Pronk, W. (2011) Characterisation of aquatic humic and non-humic matter with sizeexclusion chromatography – organic carbon detection – organic nitrogen detection (LC-OCD-OND). Water Research 45, 879–885. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.09.023</u>
- Jóhannesson, T., Aðalgeirsdóttir, G., Björnsson, H., Crochet, P.,Elíasson, E.B., Sverrir Guðmundsson, S., Jónsdóttir, J.F., Ólafsson, H., Pálsson, F., Rögnvaldsson, O., Sigurðsson, O., Snorrason, A., Sveinsson, O.G.B., Thorsteinsson, T. (2007) *Effect of climate change on hydrology and hydro-resources in Iceland*. National Energy Authority - Hydrological Service Technical Report OS-2007/011, 91.
- Kaasalainen, H., Stefánsson, A., Druschel, G.K. (2016) Determination of Fe(II), Fe(III) and Fe_{total} in thermal water by ion chromatography spectrophotometry (IC-Vis). *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 96, 1074–1090. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2016.1232717</u>
- Kulzer, L., Luchessa, S., Cooke, S., Errington, R., Weinmann, F. (2001) Characteristics of the Low-Elevation Sphagnum-Dominated Peatlands of Western Washington: A Community Profile Part 1: Physical, Chemical and Vegetation Characteristics. Report to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. King County Department of Natural Resources, Seattle.
- Li, Z., Zhang, Q., Li, Z., Qiao, Y., Du, K., Yue, Z., Tian, C., Leng, P., Cheng, H., Chen, G., Li, F. (2023) Responses of soil greenhouse gas emissions to no-tillage: A global meta-analysis. *Sustainable Production and Consumption* 36, 479–492. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2023.02.003</u>
- McDougall, I., Kristjansson, L., Saemundsson, K. (1984) Magnetostratigraphy and Geochronology of Northwest Iceland. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 89, 7029–7060. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB089iB08p07029
- Möckel, S.C., Erlendsson, E., Gísladóttir, G. (2021a) Andic Soil Properties and Tephra Layers Hamper C Turnover in Icelandic Peatlands. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 126, e2021JG006433. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JG006433</u>
- Möckel, S.C., Erlendsson, E., Prater, I., Gísladóttir, G. (2021b) Tephra deposits and carbon dynamics in peatlands of a



volcanic region: Lessons from the Hekla 4 eruption. Land Degradation and Development 32, 654–669. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3733

- Moras, C.A., Bach, L.T., Cyronak, T., Joannes-Boyau, R., Schulz, K.G. (2022) Ocean alkalinity enhancement-avoiding runaway CaCO₃ precipitation during quick and hydrated lime dissolution. *Biogeosciences* 19, 3537–3557. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-3537-2022
- Norðdahl, H., Ingólfsson, Ó., Pétursson, H.G., Hallsdóttir, M. (2008) Late Weichselian and Holocene environmental history of Iceland. *Jökull* 58, 343–364. http://dx.doi.org/10.33799/jokull2008.58.343
- Orradottir, B., Archer, S.R., Arnalds, O., Wilding, L.P., Thurow, T.L. (2008) Infiltration in Icelandic Andisols: The Role of Vegetation and Soil Frost. Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research 40, 412–421. <u>https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(06-076)[ORRADOTTIR]2.0.CO;2</u>
- Óskarsson, H., Arnalds, Ó., Gudmundsson, J., Gudbergsson, G. (2004) Organic carbon in Icelandic Andosols: Geographical variation and impact of erosion. *Catena* 56, 225–238. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2003.10.013</u>
- Parkhurst, D.L., Appelo, C.A.J. (1999) User's guide to PHREEQC (Version 2): A computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. Water-Resources Investigations Report 99-4259, US Geological Survey, Denver. <u>https://doi.org/10.3133/wri994259</u>
- Petersen, G.N., Berber, D. (2018) Soil temperature measurements in Iceland, status and future outlook (in Icelandic: Jarðvegshitamælingar á Íslandi. Staða núverandi kerfis og framtíðarsýn). Report of the Icelandic Meteorological Office (Skýrsla Veðurstofu Íslands), VÍ 2018-009, Reykjavík, Iceland. <u>https://www.vedur.is/media/vedurstofan-utgafa-2018/VI_2018_009_rs.pdf</u>
- Renforth, P., Henderson, G. (2017) Assessing ocean alkalinity for carbon sequestration. *Reviews of Geophysics* 55, 636–674. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2016RG000533</u>
- Rydin, H., Jeglum, J.K. (2013) *The Biology of Peatlands*. Second Edition, Biology of Habitats Series, Oxford University Press, Oxford. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199602995.001.0001</u>
- Saemundsson, K. (1979) Outline of the geology of Iceland. Jökull 29, 7–28. https://doi.org/10.33799/jokull1979.29.007
- Sawyer, D.T., Roberts, J.L., Sobkowiak, A. (1995) *Electrochemistry for chemists*. Second Edition, Wiley, New York.
- Schoeneberger, P.J., Wysocki, D.A., Benham, E.C., Soil Survey Staff (2012) Field Book for Describing and Sampling Soils, version 3.0. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Soil Survey Center, Lincoln, NE.
- Shakoor, A., Shahbaz, M., Farooq, T.H., Sahar, N.E., Shahzad, S.H., Altaf, M.M., Ashraf, M. (2021) A global metaanalysis of greenhouse gases emission and crop yield under no-tillage as compared to conventional tillage. *Science of the Total Environment* 750, 142299. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142299</u>
- Sigfusson, B., Paton, G.I., Gislason, S.R. (2006) The impact of sampling techniques on soil pore water carbon measurements of an Icelandic Histic Andosol. *Science of the Total Environment* 369, 203–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.01.012
- Sigurðsson, B.D., Bjarnadottir, B., Strachan, I., Palmason, F. (2004) The experimental forest in Gunnarsholt II Water



in the forest (in Icelandic: *Tilraunaskógurinn í Gunnarsholti II – Vatnið í skóginum*). *The Forestry Journal (In Icelandic: Skógræktarritið*) 1, 55–64.

- Snæbjörnsson, Á. (1982) Review of soil hydraulic conductivity measurements in Borgarfjörður, Iceland (in Icelandic: Um Vatnsleiðnimælingar í Jarðvegi á nokkrum stöðum í Borgarfirði), Report number 45-1982. <u>https://vatnsidnadur.net/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/45.-Um-vatnsleiðnimælingar-á-nokkrum-stöðum-í-Borgarfirði.-BÁH.pdf</u>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1998) MINTEQA2/PRODEFA2, A Geochemical Assessment Model for Environmental Systems: User Manual Supplement for Version 4.0. vol. 1998.
- Verry, E. (1975) Streamflow Chemistry and Nutrient Yields from Upland-Peatland Watersheds in Minnesota. *Ecology* 56, 1149–1157. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1936154</u>
- Vicca, S., Goll, D.S., Hagens, M., Hartmann, J., Janssens, I.A., Neubeck, A., Peñuelas, J., Poblador, S., Rijnders, J., Sardans, J., Struyf, E., Swoboda, P., van Groenigen, J.W., Vienne, A., Verbruggen, E. (2022) Is the climate change mitigation effect of enhanced silicate weathering governed by biological processes? *Global Change Biology* 28, 711–726. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15993
- Vitt, D.H., Bayley, S.E., Jin, T.-L. (1995) Seasonal variation in water chemistry over a bog-rich fen gradient in Continental Western Canada. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 52, 587–606. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/f95-059</u>
- Wang, H., Wang, S., Yu, Q., Zhang, Y., Wang, R., Li, J., Wang, X. (2020) No tillage increases soil organic carbon storage and decreases carbon dioxide emission in the crop residue-returned farming system. *Journal of Environmental Management* 261, 110261. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110261</u>

